

New Technical Notes

Macintosh

®

Developer Support

DV 526 - Docking Manager Q&As Devices

Revised by: Developer Support Center
Written by: Developer Support Center

September 1993
May 1993

This Technical Note contains a collection of Q&As relating to a specific topic—questions you've sent the Developer Support Center (DSC) along with answers from the DSC engineers. While DSC engineers have checked the Q&A content for accuracy, the Q&A Technical Notes don't have the editing and organization of other Technical Notes. The Q&A function is to get new technical information and updates to you quickly, saving the polish for when the information migrates into reference manuals.

Q&As are now included with Technical Notes to make access to technical updates easier for you. If you have comments or suggestions about Q&A content or distribution, please let us know by sending an AppleLink to DEVFEEDBACK. Apple Partners may send technical questions about Q&A content to DEVSUPPORT for resolution.

New Q&As in this Technical Note:

DockingDispatch trap supervisor mode bug and workaround

DockingDispatch trap supervisor mode bug and workaround

Date Written: 12/29/92

Last reviewed: 6/24/93

We're having a fatal problem using the DockingDispatch (\$AA57) trap to get information about the Duo environment. When we call with a 'hdwr' selector, running virtual memory on a Duo 230 and a Duo Dock, we get a privilege violation on a

```
MOVEC    VBR, A0
```

in some sort of patch (dock handler?) in the system heap. The 68030 isn't in supervisory mode. When we run without VM in the same setup, the 68030 is in supervisory mode and all is copacetic. When we try VM on a dockless Duo, or on a PowerBook 180 (with or without external video) the 'hdwr' selector works fine. Is there any documentation or guidance about which traps aren't safe to call because they require supervisory mode?

We recently discovered a bug in the configuration ROM on the Duo Dock. The bug is with a call to the `_DockingDispatch` trap using the hardware attribute selector when VM is on (only on the Duo Dock). The docking handler doesn't go into supervisor mode when running its hardware detection tests, and so when that call is made while running in user mode, the machine crashes, justifiably so, with a privilege error.

The declaration ROM can't be patched at this point, so the only alternative is to surround the `_DockingDispatch` call with code to force entry into supervisor mode, as shown in the code fragment below.

```
-----  
--  
; SetSupervisorMode  
;  
; Input:      None  
;  
; Destroys:   D0/A1  
;  
;  
;  
; Function:   When VM is running we must switch to supervisor mode so  
;             that we may run necessary privileged instructions.  
-----  
--  
SetSupervisorMode  
    MOVE.L  (SP)+,A1          ; Save a copy of return address—we might switch  
stacks  
    MOVEQ  #8,D0             ; Set selector to Set Supervisor Mode for VM  
    _DebugUtil               ;  
  
    CMPI.W #paramErr,D0     ; IF VM is on THEN D0 = Status Register  
  
    BNE.S  @Cont            ; ELSE  
    MOVE.W SR,D0           ; Save the current Status Register  
@Cont  
    ANDI.W #$EFFF,SR       ; Make sure that we're in the interrupt stack  
    JMP    (A1)             ; Get out of here
```

Upon returning from `_DockingDispatch`, return to user mode is different because there's only a selector to `_DebugUtil` to go into supervisor mode—no selector to return or set user mode. That you'd have to do manually with the status register. Here's an example from some system code:

```
SetHardwareToUserMode  
    move.l  sp,usp          ; set the usp to the current stack  
    move.l  4(sp),sp  
    andi.w  #($FFFF-$2000),sr ; throw me into user mode (and onto usp)  
    rts                    ; and return on usp
```

dockHardwareAttr dockHasVideo bit

Date Written: 11/4/92

Last reviewed: 6/14/93

What are the implications of returning (or not returning) true for `dockHasVideo` in `dockHardwareAttr`? Is it sufficient to statically indicate that a bar supports a monitor? After all, the video driver can later determine whether a monitor is actually attached. Or is there an added benefit to reporting the presence of a monitor when `DockingDispatch` is called?

The `dockHasVideo` bit is used by the Docking Manager to determine whether or not it's reasonable to go to sleep. Sleeping is prevented under the following circumstances: the `dockNoSleep` bit is set in `dockDockingAttr`; the `dockHasVideo` bit is set in `dockHardwareAttr`; or the `dockHasFPU` bit is set in `dockHardwareAttr`. Apple's docking bars don't set the sleep bit

in `dockDockingAttr`, but the two hardware bits are set. This way, sleep can work differently in the future without having to change the bars.

The determination of whether a bar can go to sleep or not is made at docking initialization time. This occurs before slot `PrimaryInits` are run, so the video driver isn't yet up and running. If the hardware bit is set statically, that will indicate to the Docking Manager that video always exists, as in the case of the DuoDock. This will currently prevent the possibility of putting the system to sleep. Apple's MiniDock handles this situation by running through a very stripped down version of `PrimaryInit` with the sole purpose of determining whether or not a monitor is physically attached.

dockingAttr dockingStation bit

Date Written: 11/4/92

Last reviewed: 3/1/93

Does `dockingStation` true in `DockingAttr` imply enclosure (no LCD), AC power, SCC and modem port blocked, or what?

Our equates don't refer to `dockingStation`. Setting bit 3, `dockNoLCDScreen`, means that the internal LCD screen on the Duo shouldn't be used.

Bit 4, `dockEnclosingBar`, means that the unit is physically enclosed by the bar, as in the case of the DuoDock. We're not aware of that information being used for anything specific. It implies the ports on the back are blocked, but that's a secondary implication. Certainly the MiniDock blocks the ports on the back as well, and it's not considered a docking station, so its `dockEnclosingBar` bit isn't set.

dockSoundAttr dockHeadphoneAttached bit

Date Written: 11/4/92

Last reviewed: 6/14/93

What are the implications of reporting `dockHeadphoneAttached` in `dockSoundAttr`? This seems superfluous because the presence of a headphone is directly detected at the main expansion connector, thus enabling or disabling power to sound portions of the bar via +5V SOUND.

Currently, there are no implications for either setting or clearing that bit. In the future, this may change, but neither DuoDock nor MiniDock set this bit, primarily for the reason you mention.